A

NARPAT SINGH

v.

RAJASTHAN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

В

D

[A.K. MATHUR AND MARKANDEY KATJU, JJ.]

Interlocutory Application—Held: Instant I. As for clarification of Court's order and direction are totally misconceived and are dismissed—Moreover, ordinarily, an I.A. is maintainable only in a pending case and not after a case is finally disposed of as in that event Court becomes funtus officio, and thereafter an I.A. lies ordinarily only for correcting clerical or accidental mistakes—Practice and Procedure.

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION: I.A. Nos. 15-16 of 2007

IN

Civil Appeal Nos. 2181-2182 of 2001.

From the final Judgment and Order dated 22.5.2000 of the High E Court of Judicature for Rajasthan, Jaipur Bench, in S.B. Civil 2nd Appeal Nos. 447/1997 & 232/1998.

WITH

Connt. Pet. (C) Nos. 151-152 of 2007 IN C.A. Nos. 2181-2182 F of 2001.

Sudhir Kumar Gupta for the Appellant.

Sushil Kumar Jain, Puneet Jain, Piyush Jain and H.D. Thanvi for the Respondent.

The following Order of the Court was delivered:

ORDER

We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

G

NARPAT SINGH v. RAJASTHAN FINANCIAL CORPORATION.

I.A. No. 15-16 for clarification and direction of Court's Order dated A 3.5.2007 are totally misconceived. Moreover, ordinarily nos. I.A. lies after a case is finally disposed of. Ordinarily, an I.A. is maintainable only in a pending case. Once a case is finally disposed of the Court becomes functus officio, and thereafter an I.A. lies ordinarily only for correcting clerical or accidental mistakes. The same are accordingly, dismissed.

Put up the Contempt Petitions (C) No. 151 -152 of 2007 in Civil Appeal No. 2181-2182 of 2001 after six months.

R.P. I.As. dismissed and Contempt Petition adjourned.

C

289