RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT, JODHPUR

-:: CIRCULAR ::-

No.: 11/P.1./2024 Date: 28.11.2024

It is enjoined upon all the Presiding Officers to strictly comply with the direction passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 2034/2022 in MA 1849/ 2021 in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191/ 2021 in Satender Kumar Antil Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr. vide order dated 11.07.2022, 03.02.2023, 21.03.2023 and 02.05.2023 which were earlier sent for compliance.

Further I am to inform that vide order dated 06.08.24 in the above case (supra) Hon'ble Supreme Court has directed that :-

- "(i) That all the High Courts and States/UTs must ensure compliance of the SOP for UTPs as laid down by this court vide order dt.13.02.2024, in those cases where no family member or friend is coming forward to stand as surety or furnish bonds on the behalf of the UTPs......"
- of Satender Kumar Antil vs. CB1 & Ann. (2022) 10 SCC 51, the following directions must be carried out:
- a. Every Magistrate and/or Sessions Judge shall inform its jurisdictional Principal District Judge about any form of non-compliance of Para. 100.2 or Para.100.3 of Satender Kumar Antil vs. CBI & Anr. (2022) 10 SCC 51, within 1 week of recording such non-compliance;
- b. Every Principal District Judge shall maintain a record of details of such non-compliances received from the concerned Magistrates;
- c. Every Principal District Judge upon receipt of details of noncompliance by the concerned Magistrate shall, on a monthly basis, forward the same to the Registrar General of the concerned High Court and to the Head of Police in the concerned District;
- d. The Head of Police of the concerned District shall, upon receipt of details of non-compliance of Para. 100.2, take action against the erring officer as soon as possible and inform the concerned Principal District Judge."

While enclosing herewith a copy of order dated 13.02.24 and 06.08.24 passed by Hon'ble Court in the above case all the presiding officers of the courts are directed to ensure compliance of the directions in letter and spirit.

All the Principal District Judges are directed to send the monthly compiled report of non compliance in the enclosed formats to this office.

BY ORDER

Encl.: As above

REGISTRAR GENERAL

No.: Gen./XV/107/2014/SC-I/ 1896

Date: 28.11.2024

Copy forwarded to all the District and Sessions Judges with the request to circulate the same amongst all the Presiding Officers of your judgeship for information and necessary compliance. It is also requested to send the first information within a week to this office.

REGISTRAR GENERAL

No.: Gen./XV/107/2014/SC-I/1897

Date: 28.11. 2024

Copy forwarded to the followings:-

- 1. O.S.D. (F&I). Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur.
- 2. A.O.J. (General Section), Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur with the direction to send the information received in the Format Part-A and Part-B to the Statistic Section.
- 3. A.O.J. (Statistic Section), Rajasthan High Court, Jodhpur with the direction to receive the above information and after compilation provides the same.
- 4. Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Government of Rajasthan Jaipur with the request to issue requisite directions to Head of Police of the District for ensuring compliance of the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and regularly send the information of action taken on the information of the non-compliance to the concerned District Judge.

REGISTRAR GENERAL

No.: Gen./XV/107/2014/SC-I/1949

Date: 09-12-2024

Copy forwarded to Registrar-cum-CPC, RHCB, Jaipur for uploading the same on official website of Rajasthan High Court.

REGISTRAR (ADMN.)

PART-A (District and Court wise)

		Whether Whether Whether		Whether	Whether	Whether	Whether	Whether		
\ 0.		compliance	any	courts are	the mandate		the regular	the		
		of the	accused	insisting	laid down		bail	anticipatory		
		direction	has been	for bail	in the		applications	bail		
		issued by	granted	application		Singh vs	are decided			
		the	bail due		passed by			are being		
	, 2	Hon'ble	to non-	considering	the Hon'ble			decided		
		Supreme	complia-	the bail	Supreme	SCC 605		within six		
		Court in	nce of	application	Court in	for release		weeks		
	:	case titled	section	under	Sidharth vs	of Under				
		as "Arnesh	41 and	section 88,	State of UP	trial				
		Kumar Vs	41 A	170, 204 &	(2021) 1	prisoners				
			CrPC	209 CrPC	SCC 676 is	eligible for				
		Bihar"			being	bail under				
		(2014) 8			strictly	section				
		SCC 273 is			complied	436A of		1		
		being			with	CrPC are		£ .		
	_ :	made	ı			being				
		specially			(in case of	complied	: :			
		with regard			non	with	•			
	:	to section			compliance	(in case of		:		
		41 and 41			details be	non				
		A of CrPC			given)	compliance				
	i			,		details be				
		(in case of		<u>.</u> 1		given)	:			
		non						- GARAGO		
		compliance								
	1	details be								
	# de	given)	1							
			; • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
			***	:	***					
	i		4	4	4	en i a cara a ca	Control of the state of the sta			

PART-B								
(giving	details	District and	Court	wise)				

S.	District	No. of U	nder	Whethe	er :	Total	по	of	Number	ot	Number	of	anticipa	tory
No.		trial Prisoners		the under application		Regular	bail	bail application not decided						
		indentified		trial		received		application not		within six		weeks of		
		who	are	prisone	ers	under	•		decided	withir	institutio	on.		į
		unable	10	mentio	ned	sectio	11	440	2 wee	eks o	*!			1
		comply	with	in col	umn	(2)	C	rPC	institutio	on.				
		the	bail	3 I	iave	(list	10	be			-			
		condition	(list	been		anne	ked)							-
		be	also	inform	ed	:								
		annexed		about	their	Į.								
		1		right	u's				A		í			
				440										
				CrPC.										
										421 W. 1810		***		
	,	•				:								
l													THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
						•					-			